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#### Abstract

A new chemical series which is similar to 'enstatite-IV' (En-IV) but free from Li has been discovered and called the 'Sc series of enstatite-IV'. Like the crystals of En-IV, those of the new series, which has been denoted EnIV', crystallize in three structure types: En-IV-10, En-IV-9, or En-IV-8, depending upon the Sc content in the crystals. Those having these structure types have been denoted EnIV'-10, EnIV'-9 and EnIV'-8, respectively. Structure analyses revealed that, unlike En-IV, they characteristically contain Mg at the $T$ site with an occupancy of at most $33 \cdot 3 \%$, giving structure formulae which may be well approximated by the general form $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{-(x-7.5) / 3} \mathrm{Sc}_{-3}\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Si}_{(x-4) / 3}\right] \mathrm{O}_{x} . \quad$ EnIV' $10: \quad a=9.424(2), \quad b=$ $8.738(2), \quad c=27.021$ ( 8 ) $\AA, \quad \beta=93.24$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \quad P 2 / a$, $Z=1, x=124$; EnIV' $9: a=9.424(1), b=8.740(1)$, $c=48.808$ (6) $\AA, \beta=92.38(1)^{\circ}, I 2 / a, Z=2, x=112$; EnIV'-8: $a=9.434(1), b=8.731$ (1), $c=21.791$ (4) $\AA$, $\beta=91 \cdot 30(1)^{\circ}, P 2 / a, Z=1, x=100$.] Their structural data, such as bond lengths and bond angles, are closely similar to those of the corresponding En-IV structures. Evidence has been presented for the existence of further complex structures in this series.


## Introduction

At an early stage of the structural study on En-IV (see part I, Takéuchi, Kudoh \& Ito, 1984), there was an argument concerning the Li content of the material. This argument eventually led us to synthesize another new chemical series of magnesium scandium silicates which are isotypic with En-IV but free from Li. The study on the structures of this series has revealed further detailed features of the En-IV structure type, particularly those of the tetrahedra, denoted $T$, that occur at the boundaries of the CPX slabs. The present paper describes and discusses the results of our study
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on this new chemical series which we call the 'Sc series of enstatite-IV' and denote EnIV'. Symbols such as CPX, M1, and M2 are explained in part I.

## Experimental

Using $\mathrm{MgO}, \mathrm{Sc}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{3}$ as starting materials, we synthesized the crystals of the Sc series of En-IV similarly to the method for En-IV (see part I). Crystals obtained were transparent and platy parallel to (001), similar to those of En-IV. They exhibited, however, pronounced parting parallel to (100) which was not significant for the En-IV crystals. By means of the X-ray precession method, they were found to be isotypic with En-IV. If the starting mixtures contained about $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Sc}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, the resulting crystals had the structure type of En-IV-10, and if about $7 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ the products were mostly of the En-IV-8 type. Crystals having the structure type En-IV-9 were obtained from starting mixtures containing $\mathrm{Sc}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ in amounts between 5 and $7 \mathrm{~mol} \%$. These three kinds of new crystalline phases having the structure type of En-IV$N$ will be denoted EnIV' $N$, where $N=8$, 9 , or 10. From the synthetic samples having each structure type, a piece of crystal was selected and used for the present structural study. Each crystal selected is denoted in what follows by the same symbol as that used for its structure type.

The cell dimensions of the crystals (Table 1) were obtained by the least-squares procedure applied to $\sin 2 \theta$ values of 15 reflections which were measured with a single-crystal Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer using graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=$ $1 \cdot 54178 \AA$ ). These cell dimensions should be compared with those of En-IV given in part I.

The $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique was used to measure reflection intensities; Table 2 lists the intensity-study data. Reflection intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors as well as for absorption. For EnIV'-10, EnIV'-9, the latter corrections were made with the computer program ACACA (Wuensch
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Table 1. Crystal data of EnIV ${ }^{\prime}$

|  | EnIV'-10 | EnIV'-9 | EnIV'-8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Axes set $A$ |  |  |  |
| $a(\AA)$ | 9.424 (2) | $9 \cdot 424$ (1) | 9.434 (1) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 8.738 (2) | 8.740 (1) | 8.731 (1) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 55.380 (16) | 50.092 (6) | 44.797 (8) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 103.02 (2) | $103 \cdot 21$ (1) | $103 \cdot 44$ (1) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $4443 \cdot 13$ | 4016.39 | 3588.80 |
| Space group | B2/a | A2/a | B2/a |
| Axes set $B$ |  |  |  |
| $a(\AA)$ | 9.424 (2) | 9.424 (1) | 9.434 (1) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 8.738 (2) | 8.740 (1) | 8.731 (1) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 27.021 (8) | 48.808 (6) | 21.791 (4) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 93.24 (2) | 92.38 (1) | $91 \cdot 30$ (1) |
| Space group | P2/a | 12/a | P2/a |
| CPX subcell |  |  |  |
| $a(\AA)$ | 9.791 | 9.789 | 9.788 |
| $b(\AA)$ | 8.738 | 8.756 | 8.740 |
| $c(\AA)$ | 5.538 | 5.566 | 5.600 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 110.41 | $110 \cdot 40$ | 110.37 |

\& Prewitt, 1965). We employed a spherical absorption correction for EnIV'-8, because the crystal was ground to the shape of a sphere to a good approximation.

The crystals used for the measurements of reflection intensities were then subjected to chemical analysis. The listing of the chemical composition in Table 3 for each crystal is an average of the results of electronmicroprobe analyses at five sampling points of the crystal (analyst: H. Mori). We may then express the chemical formulae in the following way taking into account the results of the structure analyses which are described below:
EnIV'-10

$$
\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{39.20} \mathrm{Sc}_{2.56} \square_{0.24}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{0.08} \mathrm{Si}_{0.44} \square_{1.48}\right) \mathrm{Si}_{40}\right] \mathrm{O}_{124} \text { (1) }
$$

EnIV'-9

$$
\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{34.80} \mathrm{Sc}_{2.98} \square_{0.22}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{0.32} \mathrm{Si}_{0.24} \square_{1.44}\right) \mathrm{Si}_{36}\right] \mathrm{O}_{112} \text { (2) }
$$

EnIV'-8

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{31 \cdot 24} \mathrm{Sc}_{2 \cdot 76}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{0.60} \mathrm{Si}_{0.04} \square_{1 \cdot 36}\right) \mathrm{Si}_{32}\right] \mathrm{O}_{100} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Structure analysis

The structures of En-IV-10, En-IV-9, and En-IV-8 (see part I) provided initial sets of atomic parameters for the structure analyses of the present crystals having the corresponding structure types. In the $T$ site of each structure, however, the amount of Si located exceeded the number of Si positions in the CPX slab. The least-squares refinement of the structure was initiated by refining the atomic coordinates and isotropic temperature factors of each structure with the use of LINUS (Coppens \& Hamilton, 1970). After the refinement converged, a preliminary occupancy refinement was executed without a constraint on chemical composition; the total of the resulting number of Mg per cell tended to be lower than that obtained by the chemical analysis.

We then located Mg at the $T$ site in addition to the excess Si atoms and refined the occupancy par-

Table 2. Intensity-study data

|  | EnIV' -10 | EnIV'-9 | EnIV'-8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.32 \times 0.19$ | $0.33 \times 0.25$ | 0.25 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha$ | $\times 0.09$ | $\times 0.12$ | (diameter) |
| Number of reflections $\left(2 \theta<130^{\circ}\right)$ | 112.0 | 115.7 | 116.1 |
| $\quad$ measured | 4093 | 3792 | 3344 |
| used | 2755 | 2757 | 2803 |
| $R(\%)$ isotropic | 7.34 | 5.72 | 4.52 |
| $R(\%)$ anisotropic | 6.77 | 4.58 | 3.97 |

Table 3. Chemical composition ( $\mathrm{wt} \%$ )

|  | EnIV' -10 | EnIV' -9 | EnIV' -8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 58.66 | 57.26 | 56.39 |
| $\mathrm{MgO}^{\mathrm{Sc}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}$ | 38.24 | 36.84 | 37.61 |
| Total | 4.28 | 5.07 | 5.54 |
|  | 101.18 | 99.17 | 99.54 |

ameter of the Mg atom. In each case, the refinement converged with the Mg occupancy at the same value regardless of the values initially assumed. The significance of the resulting contents at the $T$ site was confirmed by difference Fourier syntheses. Finally, the structure was refined by the anisotropic leastsquares procedure with the occupancy at $T$ being fixed and with those at the cation sites, other than Si , being constrained by chemical composition. The final values of $R$ are listed in Table 2. For structure factor calculation we used fully ionized form factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Unit weight was used for least-squares calculations. In the final refinement cycles for the three structures, the ratios of average and maximum shifts to error are in the ranges $0.05 \sim 0.15$ and $0.40 \sim 0.95$, respectively. In Tables 4, 5 and 6 we give the final atomic coordinates, referred to the $B$ set of axes (Table 1), and isotropic temperature factors for EnIV'-10, EnIV'-9, and EnIV'-8, respectively.*

## Discussion

## Structural similarity between En-IV and EnIV'

The crystal structures of the Sc series of En-IV thus obtained are closely similar to the corresponding structures of the En-IV series. As an example, the bond lengths of EnIV'-10 are listed in Table 7, which is to be compared with those for En-IV-10 given in Table 8 of part I. The Sc atoms are mainly distributed over the cation sites which belong to M1 (Table 8). The Sc contents of the cation sites which belong to M2 are negligible except those at the sites closest to

[^1]Table 4. Atomic parameters of EnIV'-10 (fractional atomic coordinates are multiplied by $10^{4}$ )

|  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M(1)$ | 2500 | 1498 (6) | 0 | 0.58 (7) | O(4) | 5609 (8) | 2351 (9) | 1004 (3) | 0.6 (1) |
| M(2) | 2106 (4) | 3505 (4) | 990 (1) | 0.60 (5) | O(5) | 3132 (8) | 1551 (9) | 1401 (3) | 0.5 (1) |
| $M(3)$ | 1698 (4) | 1485 (4) | 1979 (1) | 0.59 (5) | O(6) | 5660 (8) | 16 (9) | 1531 (3) | 0.6 (1) |
| $M(4)$ | 1241 (4) | 3521 (4) | 2967 (1) | 0.54 (5) | O(7) | 5222 (8) | 2735 (9) | 1954 (3) | 0.5 (1) |
| $M(5)$ | 720 (4) | 1505 (4) | 3945 (1) | 0.62 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 2721 (8) | 3432 (9) | 2378 (3) | $0 \cdot 5$ (1) |
| $M(6)$ | -792 (4) | 3399 (4) | 4919 (1) | 1.00 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 5235 (8) | 4790 (9) | 2510 (3) | 0.5 (1) |
| $M(7)$ | 7500 | 4879 (7) | 0 | 0.67 (7) | $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 4819 (8) | 2207 (9) | 2896 (3) | 0.4 (1) |
| M(8) | 7096 (4) | 119 (5) | 987 (2) | 0.67 (5) | O(11) | 2289 (8) | 1614 (10) | 3342 (3) | 0.6(1) |
| M(9) | 6675 (4) | 4890 (5) | 1973 (1) | 0.66 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 4791 (8) | 46 (9) | 3489 (3) | 0.6(1) |
| $M(10)$ | 6269 (4) | 131 (5) | 2968 (1) | 0.71 (5) | O(13) | 4409 (8) | 2900 (9) | 3826 (3) | $0 \cdot 6$ (1) |
| $M(11)$ | 5881 (4) | 4896 (5) | 3983 (1) | 0.91 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 1880 (8) | 3236 (10) | 4284 (3) | $1 \cdot 2(1)$ |
| M(12) | 5304 (5) | -16(8) | 4970 (3) | $0 \cdot 6$ (1) | O(15) | 4411 (8) | 4785 (9) | 4517 (3) | 1.0 (1) |
| Si(1) | 5230 (3) | 3403 (3) | 512 (1) | $0 \cdot 32$ (4) | $\mathrm{O}(16)$ | 4164 (8) | 2002 (10) | 4750 (3) | 1.0(1) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(2)$ | 4842 (3) | 1602 (3) | 1482 (1) | 0.29 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(17)$ | 8548 (8) | 22 (10) | 451 (3) | 0.8 (1) |
| Si(3) | 4432 (3) | 3401 (7) | 2444 (1) | 0.33 (4) | O(18) | 11092 (8) | 1532 (9) | 566 (3) | 0.6 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(4)$ | 4007 (3) | 1636 (4) | 3397 (1) | 0.43 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(19)$ | 8633 (9) | 2546 (10) | 893 (3) | 0.9 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(5)$ | 3597 (3) | 3222 (4) | 4353 (1) | 0.87 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(20)$ | $8129(8)$ $10680(8)$ | 4947 (10) | 1448 (3) | 0.8(1) |
| Si(6) | 9386 (3) | 1601 (3) | 457 (1) | 0.34 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 10680 (8) | 3461 (9) | 1548 (3) | 0.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(7)$ | 8987 (3) | 3386 (4) | 1425 (1) | 0.34 (4) | O(22) | 8260 (9) 7690 (8) | $2299(10)$ 91 (10) | 1829 (3) 2445 (3) | $0.9(1)$ $0.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(8)$ | 8573 (3) | 1624 (4) | 2387 (1) | 0.42 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(23)$ $\mathrm{O}(24)$ | $7690(8)$ $10255(8)$ | $91(10)$ $1542(10)$ | 2445 (3) | 0.8 (1) 0.6 (1) |
| Si(9) | 8077 (3) | 3332 (4) | 3334 (1) | 0.59 (4) | O(24) | $10255(8)$ 7873 (8) | 1542 (10) 2861 (9) | 2525 (3) | $0.6(1)$ $0.9(1)$ |
| Si(10) | 7455 (3) | 1648 (4) | 4246 (1) | 0.89 (4) | $\begin{aligned} & O(25) \\ & O(26) \end{aligned}$ | $7873(8)$ 7178 (8) | 2861 (9) 4827 (10) | 2748 (3) 3422 (3) | $0.9(1)$ $0.8(1)$ |
| $T$ | 2500 | 1141 (22) | 5000 | $0 \cdot 4$ (2) | $\mathrm{O}(27)$ | 9749 (8) | 3431 (9) | 3513 (3) | 0.6 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5989 (8) | 2564 (9) | 52 (3) | 0.8(1) | O(28) | 7380 (8) | 1891 (9) | 3631 (3) | $0.8(1)$ |
| O(2) | 3522 (8) | 3463 (9) | 420 (3) | 0.6(1) | O(29) | 6600 (9) | 175 (10) | 4410 (3) | 1.4 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 6055 (8) | 4988 (10) | 541 (3) | 0.7 (1) | O(30) | $9097(8)$ $6953(8)$ | $1509(9)$ $3233(10)$ | $4459(3)$ $4501(3)$ | $1 \cdot 1(1)$ $1.3(1)$ |

Table 5. Atomic parameters of EnIV'-9 (fractional atomic coordinates are multiplied by $10^{4}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{M}(1)$ | 2278 (2) | 1496 (3) | 2775 (1) | 0.61 (4) | O(4) | 5344 (4) | 2280 (5) | 3317 (1) | 0.58 (7) |
| $M(2)$ | 1826 (2) | 3513 (3) | 3325 (1) | 0.58 (4) | O(5) | 2824 (4) | 1565 (5) | 3547 (1) | 0.52 (7) |
| M(3) | 1326 (2) | 1480 (3) | 3873 (1) | 0.53 (4) | O(6) | 5339 (4) | 5 (5) | 3619 (1) | 0.62 (7) |
| $M(4)$ | 754 (3) | 3492 (3) | 4415 (1) | 0.68 (5) | O(7) | 4902 (4) | 2793 (5) | 3837 (1) | 0.51 (7) |
| $M(5)$ | -780(2) | 1602 (3) | 4956 (1) | 0.71 (4) | O(8) | 2346 (4) | 3393 (5) | 4080 (1) | 0.54 (7) |
| M(6) | 7273 (2) | 4885 (2) | 2774 (1) | 0.70 (4) | O(9) | 4848 (4) | 4963 (5) | 4162 (1) | 0.58 (7) |
| $\boldsymbol{M}(7)$ | 6799 (2) | 108 (3) | 3321 (1) | 0.69 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 4444 (4) | 2097 (5) | 4350 (1) | 0.57 (7) |
| M(8) | 6346 (2) | 4870 (3) | 3873 (1) | 0.66 (4) | O(11) | 1911 (4) | 1757 (5) | 4602 (1) | 0.77 (7) |
| M(9) | 5923 (2) | 106 (3) | 4437 (1) | 0.89 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 4416 (4) | 212 (5) | 4733 (1) | 0.72 (7) |
| $M(10)$ | 4744 (7) | 4970 (10) | 5014 (2) | $1.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 4172 (4) | 3005 (5) | 4863 (1) | 0.68 (7) |
| Si(1) | 4989 (2) | 3393 (2) | 3053 (1) | 0.41 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 8745 $11280(4)$ | 4985 (5) $3460(5)$ | 2477 (1) | 0.75 (7) $0.57(7)$ |
| Si(2) | 4534 (2) | 1596 (2) | 3586 (1) | 0.38 (3) | O(15) | 11280(4) | 3460 (5) | 2539 (1) | $0.57(7)$ $0.95(8)$ |
| Si(3) | 4064 (2) | 3367 (2) | 4114 (1) | 0.44 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(16)$ $\mathrm{O}(17)$ | 8805 (5) 8281 (4) | $2495(5)$ $47(5)$ | 2730 (1) 3030 (1) | $0.95(8)$ 0.72 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(4)$ | 3612 (2) | 1785 (2) | 4643 (1) | 0.63 (3) | O(18) | $8281(4)$ $10832(4)$ | 1543 (5) | 3084 (1) | $0.72(7)$ 0.52 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(5)$ | 9577 (2) | 3400 (2) | 2484 (1) | 0.42 (3) | O(19) | 8392 (5) | 2689 (5) | 3247 (1) | 1.04 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(6)$ | 9133 (2) | 1617 (2) | 3020 (1) | 0.44(3) | $\mathrm{O}(20)$ | 7801 (4) | 4918 (5) | 3582 (1) | 0.64 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(7)$ | 8682 (2) | 3377 (2) | 3553 (1) | 0.47 (3) | O(21) | 10369 (4) | 3453 (5) | 3629 (1) | 0.56 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(8)$ | 8146 (2) | 1673 (2) | 4079 (1) | 0.54 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 7959 (4) | 2136 (5) | 3756 (1) | 0.86 (8) |
| Si(9) | 7470 (2) | 3350 (2) | 4582 (1) | 0.66 (3) | O(23) | 7239 (4) | 168 (5) | 4126 (1) | 0.66 (7) |
| $T$ | 2500 | 3902 (24) | 5000 | 0.87 (30) | O(24) | 9811 (4) | 1583 (5) | 4178 (1) | 0.55 (7) |
|  |  |  |  |  | O(25) | 7421 (4) | 3121 (5) | 4243 (1) | 0.67 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5774 (5) | 2611 (5) | 2792 (1) | 0.75 (7) | O(26) | 6608 (5) | 4831 (6) | 4673 (1) | 1.08 (8) |
| O(2) | 3279 (4) | 3450 (5) | 3006 (1) | 0.55 (7) | $\mathrm{O}(27)$ | 9121 (4) | 3471 (5) | 4697 (1) | 0.68 (7) |
| O(3) | 5812 (4) | 4983 (5) | 3075 (1) | 0.70 (7) | O(28) | 6967 (5) | 1757 (5) | 4717 (1) | 0.78 (7) |

Table 6. Atomic parameters of EnIV'-8 (fractional atomic coordinates are multiplied by $10^{4}$ )

|  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M(1) | 2500 | 1485 (2) | 0 | 0.74 (5) | O(3) | 6000 (3) | 4980 (4) | 674 (1) | 0.85 (6) |
| $M(2)$ | 1997 (2) | 3518 (2) | 1239 (1) | 0.79 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 5499 (3) | 2313 (4) | 1239 (1) | 0.84 (6) |
| $M(3)$ | 1445 (2) | 1474 (2) | 2474 (1) | 0.66 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2972 (3) | 1560 (4) | 1740 (1) | 0.70 (6) |
| $M(4)$ | 815 (1) | 3494 (2) | 3692 (1) | 0.76 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 5477 (3) | 10 (4) | 1903 (1) | 0.72 (6) |
| $M(5)$ | 778 (2) | 8396 (2) | 5101 (1) | 0.99 (4) | O(7) | 5009 (3) | 2779 (4) | 2403 (1) | 0.71 (6) |
| $M(6)$ | 7500 | 4880 (3) | 0 | 0.89 (5) | O(8) | 2446 (3) | 3392 (4) | 2939 (1) | 0.68 (6) |
| $M(7)$ | 6972 (2) | 114 (2) | 1232 (1) | 0.87(3) | $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 4933 (3) | 4962 (4) | 3120 (1) | 0.68 (6) |
| $M(8)$ | 6463 (2) | 4868 (2) | 2471 (1) | 0.82 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 4505 (3) | 2099 (4) | 3550 (1) | 0.76 (6) |
| $M(9)$ | 5984 (2) | 108 (2) | 3742 (1) | 0.99 (3) | O(11) | 1963 (3) | 1757 (4) | 4113 (1) | 0.91 (6) |
| $M(10)$ | 4748 (3) | 4975 (4) | 5030 (2) | 0.46 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 4451 (3) | 212 (4) | 4403 (1) | 0.81 (6) |
|  | (3) | (4) | S030 (2) | -46) | $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 4190 (4) | 3012 (4) | 4700 (1) | 0.99 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(1)$ | 5178 (1) | 3395 (1) | 638 (1) | 0.54 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 8485 (3) | 39 (4) | 571 (1) | 0.90 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(2)$ | 4673 (1) | 1596(1) | 1834 (1) | 0.50 (2) | O(15) | 11021 (3) | 1540 (4) | $700(1)$ | 0.77 (6) |
| Si(3) | 4154 (1) | 3369 (2) | 3015 (1) | 0.54 (2) | O(16) | 8564 (4) | 2643 (4) | 1085 (3) | 1-16(7) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(4)$ | 3651 (1) | 1784 (2) | 4201 (1) | 0.77 (3) | O(17) | 7952 (3) | 4922 (4) | 1815 (1) | 0.85 (6) |
| Si(5) | 9332 (1) | 1614 (1) | 565 (1) | 0.56 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(18)$ | 10509 (3) | 3457 (4) | 1926(1) | 0.79 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(6)$ | 8830 (1) | 3376 (1) | 1762 (1) | 0.55 (2) | O(19) | 8093 (3) | 2152 (4) | 2224 (1) | 1.03 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(7)$ | 8243 (1) | 1672 (2) | 2944 (1) | 0.62 (2) | $O(20)$ | 7334 (3) | 168 (4) | 3043 (1) | 0.82 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(8)$ | 7512 (1) | 3351 (2) | 4066 (1) | 0.76 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 9900 (3) | 1577(4) | 3163 (1) | 0.73 (6) |
| $T$ | 2500 | 3849 (9) | 5000 | $1 \cdot 2(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 7506 (3) | 3122 (4) | 3311 (1) | 0.91 (6) |
|  |  |  |  | 12(1) | $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 6644 (4) | 4830 (4) | 4268 (2) | 1.25 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5975 (3) | 2546 (4) | 73 (2) | 1.05 (6) | O(24) | 9152 (3) | 3486 (4) | 4324 (1) | 0.94 (6) |
| O(2) | 3481 (3) | 3458 (4) | 524 (1) | 0.72 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(25)$ | 6990 (3) | 1755 (4) | 4369 (2) | 1.02 (6) |

Table 7. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ of the EnIV'-10 structure
Estimated errors are $\pm 0.006 \AA$ for $M-O$ and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$, and $\pm 0.010 \AA$ for $T-\mathrm{O}$.

| M(1) |  | M(5) |  | M(9) |  | $\mathrm{Si}(1)$ |  | $\mathrm{Si}(6)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\mathrm{O}(17) a \times 2$ | 2.024 | -O(12) $b$ | 1.999 | -O(21) $f$ | 2.045 | -O(3) | 1.587 1.617 | $-\mathrm{O}(17)$ $-\mathrm{O}(18)$ | 1.587 1.619 |
| $-\mathrm{O}(18) \mathrm{c} \times 2$ | 2.080 | -O(14) | 2.052 | $-\mathrm{O}(8) \mathrm{i}$ | 2.049 | -O(2) | 1.617 | -O(18) | 1.619 |
| $-\mathrm{O}(2) \times 2$ | 2.238 | -O(29) $b$ | 2.072 | -O(20) | 2.025 | -O(1) | 1.639 | $-\mathrm{O}(19)$ | 1.633 |
| Av. | $2 \cdot 114$ | -O(30) c | 2.119 | -O(9) | 2.042 | -O(4) | 1.642 | $-\mathrm{O}(1) d$ | 1.638 1.619 |
|  |  | -O(27) C | 2.214 | -O(7) | 2.324 | Av. | 1.621 | Av. | 1.619 |
| M(2) |  | -O(11) | $2 \cdot 263$ | $-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 2.756 |  |  |  |  |
| $-\mathrm{O}(3) f$ | 2.014 2.045 | Av. | 2.120 | Av. | 2.207 | $\mathrm{Si}(2)$ $-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 1.587 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Si}(7) \\ & -\mathrm{O}(20) \end{aligned}$ | 1.588 |
| $-\mathrm{O}(20) f$ $-\mathrm{O}(21) c$ | 2.045 2.074 | M(6) |  | M(10) |  | $-O(6)$ $-O(5)$ | 1.587 1.617 | -O(21) | 1.612 |
| $-\mathrm{O}(21) \mathrm{c}$ $-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.095 | $M(6)$ $-\mathrm{O}(15) f$ | 1.934 | $M(10)$ $-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 2.005 | -O(7) | $1 \cdot 640$ | -O(22) | 1.627 |
| -O(5) | 2.224 | -O(31)g | 1.969 | -O(11) j | 2.039 | -O(4) | 1.649 | -O(19) | 1.627 |
| -O(18) C | 2.246 | -O(30) C | 2.060 | -O(12) | 2.038 | Av. | 1.623 | Av. | 1.614 |
| Av. | 2.116 | -O(16)g | 2.120 2.299 | $-\mathrm{O}(24) b$ | 2.088 2.264 | $\mathrm{Si}(3)$ |  | $\mathrm{Si}(8)$ |  |
|  |  | -O(15)g | 2.299 2.360 | $-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 2.264 2.545 | $-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 1.585 | -O(23) | 1.588 |
| $M(3)$ $-\mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{b}$ | 2.004 | $-\mathrm{O}(31) \mathrm{c}$ | 2.360 | $-\mathrm{O}(28)$ | 2.545 2.163 | -O(8) | 1.614 | -O(24) | 1.610 |
| $-\mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{l}$ -O | 2.056 | Av. | 2.124 | Av. | 2.163 | -O(10) | 1.640 | -O(22) | 1.624 |
| -O(24)c | 2.062 | M(7) |  | M(11) |  | -O(7) | 1.659 | -O(25) | 1.628 |
| -O(5) | $2 \cdot 123$ | $-\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{h} \times 2$ | 2.049 | -O(26) | 2.000 | Av. | $1 \cdot 625$ | Av. | 1.613 |
| -O(8) | $2 \cdot 200$ | $-\mathrm{O}(3) \times 2$ | 2.053 | -O(14)i | 2.025 2.060 | $\mathrm{Si}(4)$ |  | Si(9) |  |
| -O(21)c | $2 \cdot 261$ | $-\mathrm{O}(1) \times 2$ | 2.481 | -O(15) | 2.060 2.179 | -O(12) | 1.588 | -O(26) | 1.584 |
| Av. | $2 \cdot 118$ | Av. | $2 \cdot 194$ | -O(27) $f$ | 2.179 | -O(11) | 1.617 | $-\mathrm{O}(27)$ | 1.624 |
| M(4) |  | M(8) |  | -O(31) | 2.222 2.246 | -O(13) | 1.633 | -O(25) | 1.636 |
| -O(9) $f$ | 1.998 | -O(18) $b$ | 2.041 | -O(13) | 2.246 $2 \cdot 122$ | -O(10) | 1.665 | -O(28) | 1.652 |
| -O(26) $f$ | 2.063 | -O(5)j | 2.049 | Av. | $2 \cdot 122$ | Av. | 1.631 | Av. | 1.624 |
| -O(27)c | 2.095 | -O(17) | 2.051 | $M(12)$ $-\mathrm{O}(16) k$ | 1.934 | $\mathrm{Si}(5)$ |  | $\mathrm{Si}(10)$ |  |
| -O(11) | 2.156 | -O(6) | 2.054 2.405 | $-O(16) k$ $-\mathrm{O}(16)$ | 2.119 | $-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 1.599 | -O(29) | 1.598 |
| -O(8) | 2.175 | -O(4) | 2.405 2.588 | -O(31)! | 2.102 | -O(16) | 1.617 | -O(31) | 1.626 |
| -O(24)c | 2.265 | -O(19) | $2 \cdot 588$ 2.198 | -O(30) $b$ | 2.172 | -O(15) | 1.623 | -O(30) | 1.630 |
| Av. | 2-125 | Av. | 2.198 | -O(29) | 2.004 | -O(13) | 1.682 | -O(28) | 1.671 |
|  |  |  |  | -O(29) $k$ | 2.530 | Av. | 1.630 | Av. | 1.631 |
|  |  |  |  | Av. | 2.144 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $T$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $-\mathrm{O}(16) \times 2$ | 1.887 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $-\mathrm{O}(29) k \times 2$ | 2.104 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Av. | 1.996 |  |  |  |  |

For symmetry code see Table 8 of part I.
the CPX boundaries; namely, $M(11), M(9)$, and $M(9)$ for EnIV'-10, EnIV'-9, and EnIV'-8, respectively. The mode of distribution of the Sc atoms in the CPX slabs is thus basically parallel to those of scandium lithium pyroxenes (Hawthorne \& Grundy, 1977; Smyth \& Ito, 1977). The Sc contents in EnIV', however, show the salient feature that they systematically vary from one M1 octahedron to another as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). We observe in this diagram that the Sc contents show the smallest value in the octahedra in the region of the centre of the CPX slab. The contents then gradually increase, particularly in the case of EnIV'10 , as the octahedra approach the slab boundaries. In accordance with such a variation in Sc content, the average bond lengths of individual M1 octahedra show a similar trend of variation (Fig. 1 b ). The $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}-$ Si angles and the isotropic temperature factors of Si likewise vary similarly to those in the En-IV structure series (Fig. 1c,d). The atoms in the octahedra at the CPX boundaries are also statistically located at centrosymmetric pairs of split positions; the separations of the split pairs are $0.596 \AA$ in the $M(12)$ octahedron, $0.504 \AA$ in $M(10)$, and $0.495 \AA$ in $M(10)$ of the EnIV'10, EnIV'-9, and EnIV'-8 structures, respectively.

## Contents of the $T$ site

The significant difference between En-IV and EnIV ${ }^{\prime}$ is in the contents of the $T$ site located at the CPX
boundaries (Table 9). While the $T$ site in the former case characteristically contains Li , that in the latter contains divalent Mg ; the EnIV'-8 structure provides a typical example (Table 9). Like En-IV, however, the $T$ site in the present case may also contain Si. It is notable that the total of the atomic contents of the $T$ site decreases as its Si content increases (Table 9). This situation now confirms that the atomic occupancy of $T$ is related to the charge of the cations contained as pointed out in part I. As shown in Fig. 2, which gives a plot of the atomic occupancy in $T$ versus mean cation charge, $\bar{q}$, the occupancies are close to $\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$, and $0.75 / 3$ ( or $\frac{1}{4}$ ) for $\bar{q}=1,2$, and 4 , respectively.

Although the mode of variation of the Si contents of the $T$ sites from one structure to another in the present case (Table 9) assumes that they may be correlated to the preference of structure type, it would probably not be the case. Instead, by analogy with the En-IV case, we may regard the chemical compositions (1), (2), and (3) as being basically the varieties of those of a chemical series having the following general formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{(x-12) / 3} \mathrm{Sc}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Si}_{(x-4) / 3}\right] \mathrm{O}_{x} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x=100,112$, or 124 . With respect to the $T$ site, the formula thus expressed may be regarded as that for an Mg analogue of En-IV. Available chemical


Fig. 1. (a) A plot of the Sc contents of the M1 octahedra in the CPX slab. The octahedral sites are indicated, along the horizontal axis, successively from that at one end of the array of the octahedra through to that at the other end. The top of the three rows of symbols of the octahedral sites gives sites for EnIV'-10, the middle for EnIV' -8 , and the bottom for EnIV'-9. The solid, broken, and dotted lines show the modes of variation in Sc content for EnIV'-10, EnIV'-9, and EnIV'-8, respectively. The symbols with primes represent the octahedral sites which are symmetrically related to those having the corresponding symbols without primes. Note that $(b),(c)$ and $(d)$ have been prepared as for (a). (b) A plot of mean bond lengths of individual M1 octahedra. (c) A plot of $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Si}$ angles in the finite silicate chains. (d) A plot of the isotropic temperature factors of the Si atoms.

Table 8. Atomic contents of the cation sites of the EnIV' structures

| Atomic site | Equipoint | Corresponding site in pyroxene | Contents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mg | Sc |
| EnIV' ${ }^{\prime} 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| $M(1)$ | 2(e) |  | 0.94 (1) | 0.06 |
| $M(2)$ | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.93 (1) | 0.07 |
| $M(3)$ | $4(g)$ | $\boldsymbol{M}(1)$ | 0.92 (1) | 0.08 |
| $M(4)$ | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.91 (1) | 0.09 |
| $M(5)$ | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.84 (1) | 0.15 |
| $M(12)$ | 2 (b) |  | 0.76 (1) | 0.24 |
| $M(7)$ | 2(e) |  | 0.98 (1) | 0.02 |
| M(8) | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.99 (1) | 0.01 |
| $M(9)$ | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ | $M(2)$ | 0.99 (1) | 0.01 |
| $M(10)$ | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.99(1) | 0.01 |
| $M(11)$ | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.95 (1) | 0.05 |
| M(6) | 4(g) |  | 0.96 (1) | $0 \cdot 00$ |
| $T$ | $2(f)$ |  | 0.22 Si | 0.04 Mg |
| EnIV'-9 |  |  |  |  |
| $M(1)$ | $8(5)$ |  | 0.94 (1) | 0.05 |
| M (2) | $8(\Omega)$ | $M(1)$ | 0.93 (1) | 0.06 |
| $M(3)$ | $8(\Omega)$ | $M(1)$ | 0.93 (1) | 0.07 |
| M(4) | $8(\Omega)$ |  | 0.76 (1) | 0.24 |
| $M(10)$ | $4(b)$ |  | 0.64 (1) | 0.36 |
| $M(6)$ | $8(f)$ |  | 0.98 (1) | 0.01 |
| $\boldsymbol{M}(7)$ | $8(0)$ | M(2) | 0.98 (1) | 0.01 |
| M ${ }^{(8)}$ | $8(f)$ | $M(2)$ | 0.98 (1) | 0.01 |
| M(9) | $8(\Omega)$ |  | 0.90 (1) | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| M(5) | $8(f)$ |  | 0.98 (1) | 0.00 |
| $T$ | 4(e) |  | 0.12 Si | 0.16 Mg |
| EniV'-8 |  |  |  |  |
| $M(1)$ | 2(e) |  | 0.96 (1) | 0.04 |
| $M(2)$ | 4(g) |  | 0.93 (1) | 0.07 |
| $M(3)$ | $4(g)\}$ | $M(1)$ | 0.93 (1) | 0.07 |
| M(4) | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.77 (1) | 0.23 |
| $M(10)$ | 2 (b) |  | 0.76 (1) | 0.24 |
| M(6) | $2(e)$ |  |  |  |
| $M(7)$ $M(8)$ | 4(g) |  | $0.98(1)$ | 0.02 |
| $M(8)$ $M(9)$ | $4(g)$ | M(2) | 0.99 (1) | 0.01 |
| M ${ }^{(9)}$ | $4(g)$ |  | 0.90(1) | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| M(5) | $4(\mathrm{~g})$ |  | 0.97 (1) | 0.03 |
| T | 2() |  | 0.02 Si | 0.30 Mg |

compositions of EnIV', however, show that the Sc contents per formula unit are close to three rather than four. Consequently, the following modification of (4) will better represent the chemical compositions of EnIV':

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{(x-7 \cdot 5) / 3} \mathrm{Sc}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{2 / 3} \mathrm{Si}_{(x-4) / 3}\right] \mathrm{O}_{x} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x=100,112$, or 124 . The expression of this


Fig. 2. A plot of atomic occupancy at the $T$ site versus mean charge, $\bar{q}$, of the atoms located at $T$. The solid circles are for the crystals of En-IV (see part I) and open circles for those of EnIV'. The solid line defined by the entries is very close to the relation $N=2 / 3 \bar{q}$, as shown by the broken curve ( $N$ represents an occupancy).

Table 9. Contents, mean charge, $\bar{q}$, and the number, $n$, of the cations at $T$, showing mean $T-\mathrm{O}$ distances, $\overline{T-O}$

| $N$ | $\mathrm{Sc} / \mathrm{Mg}$ | Contents | $\bar{q}(\mathrm{e})$ | $n^{*}$ | $\overline{T-O}(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| En-IV- N series |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.164 | 0.67 Li | 1 | 1.34 | 1.999 (5) |
| 10 | 0.08 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.58 \mathrm{Li} \\ 0.035 \mathrm{Si} \end{array}\right.$ | $1 \cdot 17$ | 1.23 | 2.00 (2) |
| 9 | $0.118{ }^{+}$ | 0.244 Si | 4 | 0.49 | 2.00 (2) |
| EnIV'- $N$ series |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.088 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.30 \mathrm{Mg} \\ 0.02 \mathrm{Si} \end{array}\right.$ | $2 \cdot 13$ | 0.64 | 2.00 (1) |
| 9 | 0.085 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.16 \mathrm{Mg} \\ 0.12 \mathrm{Si} \end{array}\right.$ | 2.86 | 0.56 | 2.00 (1) |
| 10 | 0.065 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.04 \mathrm{Mg} \\ 0.22 \mathrm{Si} \end{array}\right.$ | $3 \cdot 69$ | 0.52 | $2 \cdot 00$ (1) |

[^2]formula is an idealized version of the real compositions in the sense that the $T$ site is assumed to be occupied only by Mg , no consideration being made of the possible location of Si at $T$.

It is notable that from a starting material containing a minor amount of $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, a crystal was obtained having chemical composition: $\mathrm{MgO} 35 \cdot 67, \mathrm{Sc}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $7.94, \mathrm{SiO}_{2} 53 \cdot 17$, and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 1.97 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (analyst I . Steele). As the crystal has been found to have the structure type En-IV-8 by the X-ray precession method $(a=9.439, \quad b=8.749, \quad c=21.695 \AA, \quad \beta=$ $91^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ ), we may derive the structural formula from this composition in two ways:
$\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{29 \cdot 33} \mathrm{Sc}_{4 \cdot 00}\right)_{\Sigma=33 \cdot 33}\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{1 \cdot 33}\left(\mathrm{Al}_{1 \cdot 33} \mathrm{Si}_{30 \cdot 60}\right)\right]_{\Sigma=33 \cdot 33} \mathrm{O}_{100}$, and

$$
\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{30.00} \mathrm{Sc}_{4.00}\right)\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{0.66}\left(\mathrm{Al}_{1 \cdot 33} \mathrm{Si}_{30.60}\right)\right] \mathrm{O}_{100}
$$

The first is almost exactly of the type of the ideal chemical formula for En-IV-8 (part I), while the second is closely similar to (4) with $x=100$. In either case the Sc contents are higher compared to those of the above cases. Although the structural analysis of this crystal has not been carried out, it is very likely that the Al atoms in the structure would be located in the tetrahedra at the ends of the chain units; the resulting deficiency in the valence sums at the O atoms forming the tetrahedra about $T$ would be balanced by higher contents of Sc in the polyhedra surrounding $T$ than those of the present cases.

We may now conclude that the occupancy at $T$ does not exceed $\frac{2}{3}$ in the En-IV structure types and the contents in the tetrahedra about $T$ are sensibly adjusted so as to attain charge balance of the O atoms of the tetrahedra.

## Further structural complexity of EnIV'

Apparently single crystals of EnIV' were sometimes found to be composites of different structure types.

Thus, for example, the diffraction pattern of a crystal having the EnIV'-10 structure type was accompanied by that of an EnIV'-9 type in such a way that their reciprocal lattices are in parallel positions. Or, in another crystal, EnIV'-9 and EnIV'-8 coexisted. While examining crystals by the X-ray precession method, we encountered a crystal which was a composite of EnIV'-10 and EnIV'-9, the latter being dominant. A closer examination of the precession photograph, showing the $h 01$ reflections, revealed the existence of extra reflections in addition to those of the two structure types (Fig. 3). The reflections were not accounted for even if we assume new structures such as EnIV'-11, EnIV' 12 or others in the EnIV'- $N$ series. It appears that the En-IV- $N$ structure types are in fact limited to three for which $N=8,9$, and 10 . The structural features of EnIV' underlying the occurrence of these kinds of extra reflections will be discussed elsewhere.

Part of the crystal syntheses were carried out under the supervision of Professor O. J. Kleppa, Materials Research Laboratory of the University of Chicago, funded by the National Science Foundation; we are grateful to him for his encouragement. We also thank Dr Ian M. Steele for his electron-microprobe analyses, and Dr A. G. de L'Eprevier for his assistance


Fig. 3. Portion of the precession photograph $(\mathrm{Cu} K)$ of a composite crystal consisting of an EnIV'-10 structure and an EnIV'-9 structure, showing extra reflections in the composite $\overline{2} 0 l$ reciprocallattice row. The left of the pair of columns of figures gives the $I$ indices of EnIV'-9 and the right those of EnIV'-10, those for EnIV'-9 being halved.
with the crystal synthesis. The structural study of EnIV' was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 242016 of the Ministry of Education of Japan. Computations were carried out on a HITAC $8800 / 8700$ computer at the Computer Centre of the University of Tokyo.
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#### Abstract

Tilted-beam two-dimensional lattice images have been used to determine the structure of a new polytype of SiC , viz $411 R$, and its intergrowth structures. Initially, the chevron-shaped fringes in a simple structure of 6 H were obtained and analysed with the help of computer simulation based on the multislice approach of Cowley \& Moodie [Acta Cryst. (1957), 10, 609-619] in order to arrive at optimum experimental conditions. The method was then applied to a high-period polytype $411 R$ and its intergrowth structures. The suitability of this technique for structural investigations is discussed.


## Introduction

High-resolution electron microscopy (lattice imaging) has become a powerful tool to elucidate the details at ultrastructural level which are beyond the reach of more conventional structural techniques such as X ray diffraction (Van Landuyt, Amelinckx, Kohn \& Eckart, 1974; Cowley \& Iijima, 1972; Allpress \& Sanders, 1973; McConnell, Hutchison \& Anderson, 1974; Buseck \& Iijima, 1974; Hashimoto, Endoh, Takai, Tomioka \& Yokota, 1978/79; Van Tendeloo \& Amelinckx, 1982, etc.). In SiC it is usually found that large unit cells consist of regular stackings of unit cells of one or more common structure types like $6 H, 15 R, 4 H$ and $21 R$ (Verma \& Krishna, 1966;

[^3]0108-7681/84/020132-07\$01.50

Dubey, Ram \& Singh, 1973). The utility of low-resolution lattice images has already been established in the structural investigations of SiC structures of large cell parameters (Dubey \& Singh, 1978; Singh \& Singh, 1980; Singh, Singh \& Van Tendeloo, 1981; Yessik, Shinozaki \& Sato, 1975; Ogbuji, Mitchell \& Heuer, 1981; Kuo, Zhou, Ye \& Kuo, 1982). It has been suggested by Jepps, Smith \& Page (1979) that tiltedbeam two-dimensional lattice images are capable of directly revealing the stacking sequence in SiC polytype structures. Recently, Smith \& O'Keefe (1983) have very critically examined the conditions for obtaining direct structure images in small-period polytypes with the help of computer simulation using Optronics Photo-Write and HREM's from the 600 kV Cambridge microscope. Their computer simulations using a variety of experimental parameters leading to a resolution limit of 0.2 nm have shown that the tetrahedral stacking can be directly recognized under very restricted imaging conditions for crystal thicknesses up to about 7.5 nm .

Computer simulation of image contrast using the multislice approach (Cowley \& Moodie, 1957; Goodman \& Moodie, 1974) has generally been used for confirming the inferences from the lattice images. This requires advance knowledge of the structure as well as experimental parameters like foil thickness, defocus etc., some of which are not easily found. In addition, the multislice computations become too cumbersome in cases of large polytype unit cells. Therefore, the use of computer simulation in this study has been confined to a well known structure of


[^0]:    * Deceased 6th June 1978.

[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic temperature factor coefficients and bond lengths (for EnIV'-9 and EnIV'-8) have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 38999 ( 66 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

[^2]:    * Per formula unit: for 124, 112 and 1000 atoms for the En-IV-10, En-IV-9 and En-IV-8 types, respectively.
    $+\mathrm{Sc} /(\mathrm{Mg}+\mathrm{Co})$.

[^3]:    * Crystallography Laboratory, The University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, England.

